



FOOD SERVICE CONTRACTING

Presented by:
Cara Vartuli-Dusablon
Joint Culinary Center of Excellence
(JCCoE)



AGENDA



- Writing an effective Performance Work Statement (PWS)
- Two factors for PWS success
- Contract Administration
 - Role of a COR
 - Subsistence Prime Vendor Contract Discrepancy Report
- Current picture of contracting operations Army wide
- Training



WRITING AN EFFECTIVE PWS



- Need/Funding
 - What is the actual food service need
 - Funding available to support additional labor costs
- Historical data
 - Previous contracts
 - Headcount
- Standards
 - TB MED 530, AR 30-22, DA PAM 30-22
- DA G4/IMCOM HQ mandated PWS/PAP prototypes
 - JCCoE support available to review and edit PWS/PAP
 - Prototype currently under second revision



2 FACTORS FOR PWS SUCCESS



- Pre Award
 - Negotiation
 - Using minimal restrictive factors in solicitation to maximize competition increasing opportunity for best value
- Post Award
 - Documentation
 - Document Contractors performance IAW PWS and PAP to ensure contractual compliance



CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION



- Role of the COR
 - Monitor and Document
 - Use PAP
 - Ensure contract compliance
 - Follow proper inspection procedures
 - Know and enforce "standards"
 - Liaison
 - Not the "boss"
 - Theater
 - Cook Augmented DFACs



Contract Administration



- Subsistence Vendor Contract Discrepancy Report (SVCDR)
 - DA PAM 30-22 , DA Form 7589
 - Appendix H, H-3, H-4
 - Communication with DSCP, IMCOM, JCCoE
 - Lack of Documentation, no paperwork= no evidence



SVCDR

	F002	
S		E

		For use of this form, see DA					
1. FROM HHC 7th SIG BN SFC Hugh Mungas Fort Defiance, VA		2. THRU SSM Fort Defiance,	2. THRU		3. T0 Subsistence Prime Vendor Office Defense Supply Center, Philadelphia		
4. DATE PREPARED (YYYYDDMM) 20060330			ERY DATE (YYYYDDMM) 6. NAME OF PRIM 20060330 Richard's Fi				
7. DISCREPANCY	a.	ITEM (s)	b.	NSN/s/	c	V	ENDOR SKU # (s)
(I) Corn, Whol		hole Grain	Grain 8915-00-737-41		10 VC 1234		
(2	Pork Loi	n Whole Boneless	nole Boneless 8915-00		N	MF 5678	
(3							
	-		_				
L NARRATIVE	' L						
Requested 4 cases	delivery t	icket indicated 4 ca	sees count	revealed only	2 00000		
.,questeu + cases	, delivery t	ionet illulcateu 4 Ca	acco, count	evenien out	y J Lases		
0) 0							
2) Requested 180 LB							vas not contacte
and did not approve si				naage to fee	d the same	number	
of personnel, therefore	I had to c	hange menu to feed	d Soldiers.				
				Defiance wit	n a same o	lay replac	ement for these
				Defiance wit	h a same o	lay replac	ement for these
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng.				
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
ems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
ems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
tems. He said that it v	vas too far	and time consumir	ng. s told that re	delivery was			
rems. He said that it v *SSM Note: I contact elerred to the DSCP a	ted the cus	and time consumir	s told that re	delivery was		ble. This p	problem was
terns. He said that it v	was the shi	and time consumir	ng. s told that re iii 30 March.	delivery was		ble. This p	oroblem was
Terms. He said that it v *SSM Note: I contact eferred to the DSCP at REQUIRED INFORMATION (I)	was the shi was vectors	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal	ng. s told that re ill 30 March.	delivery was		VES X	problem was
REDURED INFORMATION (I)	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal PMENT PARTIALLY OR COMPLE ARY INSPECTION REQUESTED? DOOR CUSTOMER REPRESENTA.	is told that re- stold that re- stol	delivery was		ble. This p	oroblem was
terns. He said that it v **SSM Note: I contact eferred to the DSCP a REQUIRED INFORMATION (I)	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal	is told that re- stold that re- stol	delivery was		VES X	oroblem was
terns. He said that it v **SSM Note: I contact eferred to the DSCP a REQUIRED INFORMATION (I) (2) (3) (4) PREPARER	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal present Partially or comple arm mercetion requestion cor customer representat o did response correct the	IS TO MATCH. TILLY REJECTED? TIVE CONTACTED? FROOLEM? SPM.)	delivery was		YES X	no X
terns. He said that it v **SSM Note: I contact eferred to the DSCP a REQUIRED INFORMATION (II 2) (II 4) PREPARER NAME	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal PMENT PARTIALLY OR COMPLE ARY INSPECTION REQUESTED? DOOR CUSTOMER REPRESENTA.	IS told that re II 30 March. ITTLY REJECTED? TIVE CONTACTED? PRODLEMY FPMI C. SIGNAT	delivery was	s not possi	YES X	oroblem was
terns. He said that it v **SSM Note: I contact eferred to the DSCP a REQUIRED INFORMATION (II 2) (II 4) PREPARER NAME	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal print partially or comple any inspection requestery look customer representation to did response correct the b. Phone number	IS told that re II 30 March. ITTLY REJECTED? TIVE CONTACTED? PRODLEMY FPMI C. SIGNAT	delivery was	s not possi	YES X	NO X
(2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal nager via phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal any inspection requester by the constitution of the constitution	E told that re II 30 March. TITLY NEACTED? THE CONTACTED? C. SIGNAT H. W.L.	ure Phungas	s not possi	YES X	NO X X X 6. DATE (PTYTOMOGO) 20060330
Terms. He said that it v **SSM Note: I contact eferred to the DSCP a REQUIRED INFORMATION (II) (II) (II) (II) (II) (II) (III) (III)	WAS THE SHI WAS THE SHI WAS THE WAS TH	and time consumir stomer rep and was nager via phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal phone cal print partially or comple any inspection requestery look customer representation to did response correct the b. Phone number	IS told that re II 30 March. ITTLY REJECTED? TIVE CONTACTED? PRODLEMY FPMI C. SIGNAT	ure Phungas	s not possi	YES X	NO X

Figure H-1. Sample of DA Form 7589 (Subsistence Vendor Contract Discrepancy Report) - continued



CURRENT CONTRACTING OPERATIONS WORLDWIDE



- Training
 - Food Service Contract Management
 - Five day course taught via MTT
 - Five day course included in SLC (ANCOC), WOBC/WOAC and FSM
 - DoD Standard for Certification of COR
- DCMA
 - DAU Online training (CLC 106)
 - Eight day QAR training provided at FT Lee



CURRENT CONTRACTING OPERATIONS WORLDWIDE



- Theater: LOGCAP III and IV
 - Iraq
 - Afghanistan
 - Monitor contractor's performance
- Active Component Garrison
 - Joint basing operations
 - Mixed: Contracting and Active Component
 - FFS
 - DFA
 - CCS+DFA





QUESTIONS?